

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Jessica Kahraman, an individual; D.K., a minor,  
through his parent and guardian Jessica Kahraman;  
and K.K., a minor, through his parent and guardian  
Jessica Kahraman,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

The State of Arizona, a governmental entity; Arizona  
Department of Child Safety ("DCS"), a governmental  
entity; Sarah Kramer, individually and as an employee  
with DCS, and John Doe Kramer, her spouse; Sarah  
Mendez, individually and as an employee with DCS,  
and John Doe Mendez, her spouse; Madison Bell,  
individually and as an employee with DCS, and John  
Doe Bell, her spouse; Mecca Temple, individually and  
as an employee with DCS, and John Doe Temple, her  
spouse; Gregory McKay, individually and as an  
employee with the State of Arizona as the former  
Director of DCS, and Jane Doe McKay, his spouse;  
Michael Faust, individually and as an employee with  
the State of Arizona as the current Director of DCS,  
and Jane Doe Faust, his spouse; Banner Children's at  
Desert, formerly Cardon Children Medical Center  
("Banner"), an Arizona nonprofit organization; Ryan  
M. Stewart, M.D., individually and as an employee  
with Banner, and Jane Doe Stewart, his spouse; Maria  
Chico, individually and as an employee with Banner,  
and John Doe Chico, her spouse; Southwest Human  
Development ("SWHD"), an Arizona nonprofit  
organization, individually and as a service provider  
for the State of Arizona; Drue Kaplan-Siekman,  
individually and as an employee with SWHD, and  
John Doe Siekman, her spouse; Gwyneth Kelly,  
individually and as an employee with SWHD, and  
John Kelly, her spouse; Michael Kelly, M.D. an  
individual, and Jane Doe Kelly, his spouse; John and  
Jane Does 1-5; and Black Entities 1-5,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00375-SRB

**ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT GWYNETH  
KELLY'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE: (1) TO EXCEED  
PAGE LIMIT ON  
DEFENDANT GWYNETH  
KELLY'S REPLY IN  
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
JUDGMENT ON THE  
PLEADINGS; AND (2) FOR  
AN EXTENSION TO FILE  
REPLY  
(First Request)**

1  
2 The Court, having received Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Leave to  
3 Exceed LRCiv 7.2(e)(2) page limit for Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Reply in Support of  
4 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and for an Extension to File the Reply and the other  
5 parties having no objection hereto,

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** granting the motion and accepting and allowing  
7 Defendant Gwyneth Kelly to file a fourteen (14) page Reply to Plaintiffs' Combined  
8 Response In Opposition to Defendant Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Judgment on the  
9 Pleadings [Doc. 168] and in Support of her Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc.  
10 153].

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** extending the deadline for Defendant Gwyneth  
12 Kelly to file her Reply to Plaintiffs' Combined Response In Opposition to Defendant  
13 Gwyneth Kelly's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc. 168] and in Support of her  
14 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Doc. 153], up to and including September 29, 2023.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28